

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/485,113 06/07/95 KATZ EXAMINER WOO,S DARBY & DARBY P.C. **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 707 WILSHIRE BLVD 32ND FLOOR LOS ANGELES CA 90017 DATE MAILED:608 09/18/96

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/485,113

Applicant(s)

Katz

Examiner

Stella Woo

Group Art Unit 2608



| X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 13, 1996 | |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| ☐ This action is FINAL . | |
| ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | |
| A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). | |
| Disposition of Claims | |
| | is/are pending in the application. |
| Of the above, claim(s) | is/are withdrawn from consideration. |
| ☐ Claim(s) | is/are allowed. |
| | is/are rejected. |
| Claim(s) | is/are objected to. |
| □ Claims are | |
| Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. | |
| The proposed drawing correction, filed on is approved disapproved. | |
| The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | |
| The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | |
| Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). | |
| All Doome Didne of the CENTHIED copies of the phonty documents have been | |
| ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) | |
| received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number/ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | |
| *Certified copies not received: | |
| ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 t | J.S.C. § 119(e). |
| Attachment(s) | |
| X Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 | |
| ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) | |
| ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 | |
| ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 | |
| ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 | |
| | |
| SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES | |

Serial Number: 08/485,113

Art Unit: 2608

ļ.

Part III DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 18-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 18, line 4; claim 23, line 3; claim 27, line 6; claim 29, line 5, the phrase "may comprise" is vague and indefinite in that it is not clear as to whether or not the remote terminals do comprise a conventional telephone instrument.

2. The non-statutory double patenting rejection, whether of the obviousness-type or non-obviousness-type, is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent. *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); and *In re Goodman*, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(b) and (c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.78(d).

Serial Number: 08/485,113

Art Unit: 2608

THE

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 18-29 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 38-41 of U. S. Patent No. 4,845,739 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

AN

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, note call data means 20a (col. 4, lines 47-65) and DNIS for format selection (col. 4, lines 47-61; col. 6, lines 45-53).

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

18-20,22-29

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 18-29 have been considered but are deemed to be moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

A

Serial Number: 08/485,113

Art Unit: 2608

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stella Woo whose telephone number is (703) 305-4395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Wednesday from 6:30 a.m. to 3:00 p.m.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

September 15, 1996

Stella Woo Patent Examiner Art Unit 2608